



TITLE:

N-Person Game of Timing on Sale - Silent Case(The Development of Information and Decision Processes)

AUTHOR(S):

Teraoka, Yoshinobu; Hohjo, Hitoshi

CITATION:

Teraoka, Yoshinobu ...[et al]. N-Person Game of Timing on Sale -Silent Case(The Development of Information and Decision Processes). 数理解析研究所講究録 2006, 1504: 105-109

ISSUE DATE:

2006-07

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/58495>

RIGHT:

N-Person Game of Timing on Sale—Silent Case

大阪府立大学 大学院理学系研究科 寺岡義伸 (Yoshinobu Teraoka)

大阪府立大学 大学院理学系研究科 北條仁志 (Hitoshi Hohjo)

Department of Mathematics and Information Sciences
Graduate School of Science
Osaka Prefecture University

Abstract

We consider a class of timing game which is suggested from a timing problem for putting some kind of farm products on the market. N players, Player 1, 2, ..., n , take possession of the right to put some kind of farm products at any time in $[0, 1]$. The price of them increases with time so long as none of the n players do not sell them, however, if one of the n players puts his farm products on the market the price falls discontinuously and then increase with time. Such discontinuous falls in the price of farm product arise successively until n players complete to sell. All players have to put their farm product within the unit interval $[0, 1]$. In such a situation, each player wishes to delay action as late as possible, but does not wish to delay so late that his opponents can put earlier. We assume that all players do not learn when nor whether their opponents have put their farm products on the market. Each of the n players has to decide his action time. This model yields us a certain class of n -person non-zero sum infinite game.

1. Introduction We consider a class of game which is suggested from correlative phenomena between the price and supply in a market on farm products. N players, Player 1, ..., n take possession of the right to put some kind of firm products on the market with same ratio. We call such kind of products product F in this paper. We can harvest product F at a specific season every year periodically. Each of the n players wants to decide the optimal time to put his product F on the market until the next harvest season. We consider one time period where the harvest time in each year is the beginning and the next harvest time is the end. The price of product F increases with time so long as none of the players don't put on the market and keep their own products. But, when one of the players put his product F on the market, the price of product F possessed by the other $n-1$ players falls

discontinuously and then increases with time continuously. Such discontinuous falls in the price on product F possessed by the other players arise successively whenever one of the rest players put his product F, until all on the n players complete to sell. In such a situation, each player wishes to delay action as late as possible to increase the price of his product F, but does not wish to delay so late that the price falls discontinuously several times without knowing the current price. Each player has to decide his optimal action time considering the current price and his opponents' action times, each other.

As well as the usual games of timing [1, 2], there are two kind of information available to the players. If a player is informed of his opponents' action times as soon as one of his opponents put his product F on the market, we say they are in a noisy version. If neither player learns when or whether each of the n-1 players has put his product F on the market, we say they are in a silent version. We consider the case where n-players are in a silent version in this paper.

This model yields us a certain class of n-person non-zero sum infinite games on the hyper unit-cube. The equilibrium strategies express the proper balance between the desire for delay and the danger of delaying. Related to our model, we can point out three works [3, 4, 5].

2. Notations and Assumptions Since we consider one period game, we express the period as the unit interval $[0, 1]$. Throughout of this paper, we use the following notations:

$v(t)$ is the price of product F at time $t \in [0, 1]$, when any of the n-players doesn't put his product F. We assume that $v(t)$ is differentiable and $v'(t) > 0$ for $t \in (0, 1)$. It is natural to assume $0 < v(0) < \infty$.

r is the discount factor after one of the n-players has already put his product F on the market and is assumed $0 < r < 1$. That is, if one of the n-players sells his product F at time $t \in [0, 1]$, the price of product F possessed by other n-1 players falls down from $v(t)$ to $rv(t)$ immediately. Hence if k players have already put their product F in the market before time $t \in [0, 1]$, the price of it possessed by other n-k players decreases to $r^k v(t)$ at time $t \in [0, 1]$.

If k players put their product F at a same time $t \in [0, 1]$, each of the k players can get the current price $\hat{v}(t)$, and then the price of product F decreases to $r^k \hat{v}(t)$.

We now denote the expectations for the real valued function $M_i(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ over $[0, 1] \times \dots \times [0, 1]$ when player i uses cdf $F_i(x_i)$ over $[0, 1]$ as his mixed

strategy as follows:

$$M_i(F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n) = \int_0^1 \dots \int_0^1 M_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) dF_1(x_1) dF_2(x_2) \dots dF_n(x_n)$$

and

$$M_i(x_1, F_2, \dots, F_n) = \int_0^1 M_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) dF_2(x_2) \dots dF_n(x_n).$$

3. Formulations and Analysis Since each of the n -players can't observe the other $n-1$ players' action time, he decide his putting time in advance and then he can find the value of his product F after putting on the market. Hence we establish the pure strategy for Player i as $x_i \in [0, 1]$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then the expected payoff kernel to Player 1 $M_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ is given by

$$M_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \begin{cases} v(x_1), & 0 \leq x_1 \leq y_{(1)} \\ rv(x_1) & y_{(1)} < x_1 \leq y_{(2)} \\ r^2 v(x_1) & y_{(2)} < x_1 \leq y_{(3)} \\ \dots\dots\dots & \\ r^k v(x_1) & y_{(k)} < x_1 \leq y_{(k+1)} \\ \dots\dots\dots & \\ r^{n-1} v(x_1) & y_{n-1} < x_1 \leq 1, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $y_{(i)}$ denotes the i th smallest of x_2, \dots, x_{n-1} , $i = 1, \dots, n-1$. Hence, we

suppose that $y_{(1)} \leq y_{(2)} \leq \dots \leq y_{(n-1)}$.

Observing the above payoff kernel, we can suppose that all of the n players use same mixed strategy (cdf on $[0, 1]$) $F(x)$ and also suppose that $F(x)$ consists of its pdf $f(x) > 0$ over an interval $(a, 1) \subset [0, 1]$ by considering the result of two person game[5]. Since the expected payoff to Player 1 $M_1(x, F, \dots, F)$ for any $x \in (a, 1]$ is given by

$$M_1(x, F, F, \dots, F) = v(x) \left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} C_k \{rF(x)\}^k \{1-F(x)\}^{n-k-1} \right], \quad (2)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(x, F, F, \dots, F) &= v(x), & 0 \leq x < a \\ &= v(x)[1 - (1-r)F(x)]^{n-1}, & a \leq x \leq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Putting

$$M_1(x, F, F, \dots, F) = \text{const} \quad \text{for } x \in (a, 1),$$

we get

$$v(x)[1 - (1-r)F(x)] = (n-1)(1-r)f(x)v(x), \quad a < x < 1,$$

which yields

$$F(x) = \{1/(1-r)\}[1 - \{c/v(x)\}^{1/(n-1)}], \quad a < x < 1, \quad (4)$$

where c is an integration constant. The boundary conditions

$$F(a) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad F(1) = 1$$

give us

$$c = r^{n-1}v(1) \quad ; \quad v(a) = r^{n-1}v(1).$$

However, the above conditions are satisfied even when $v(0) \leq r^{n-1}v(1)$.

So we consider the case where $v(0) \leq r^{n-1}v(1)$ first. For this case, there exists the unique root a which satisfies the equation $v(a) = r^{n-1}v(1)$ in the interval $[0, 1]$. And then we have the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(x, F, F, \dots, F) &= v(x) < v(a) = r^{n-1}v(1), & 0 \leq x < a^0 \\ &= v(a) = r^{n-1}v(1), & a^0 \leq x \leq 1 \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Hence we get Theorem 1.

Theorem 1. Assume that $v(0) \leq r^{n-1}v(1)$. Let a^0 be the unique root of equation of $v(a) = r^{n-1}v(1)$ in the interval $[0, 1]$. And consider the following mixed strategy:

$$\begin{aligned} F^0(x) &= 0, & 0 \leq x < a^0 \\ &= \{1/(1-r)\}[1 - \{v(a)/v(x)\}^{1/(n-1)}], & a^0 \leq x \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then n -tuple of mixed strategies (F^0, F^0, \dots, F^0) is a Nash equilibrium of our n -person non-zero sum silent game. And the corresponding equilibrium value v_i to Player i is given as

$$v_i = M_i(F^0, F^0, \dots, F^0) = r^{n-1}v(1), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Now we consider the case where $v(0) > r^{n-1}v(1)$. Since we assumed that each of k players can get the current price $\hat{v}(t)$ when k players put their product F at a same time $t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$M_1(x, 0, \dots, 0) = r^{n-1}v(x) \leq rv(1) < v(0), \quad 0 < x \leq 1 \quad (6)$$

and also have

$$M_1(0, x_2, \dots, x_n) = v(0) > r^{n-1}v(1), \quad \text{for any } x_2, \dots, x_n \text{ in } [0, 1]. \quad (7)$$

Thus we get Theorem 2.

Theorem 2. Assume $v(0) > r^{n-1}v(0)$. Then n -tuple $(0, \dots, 0)$ is a Nash equilibrium point of this non-zero sum silent game. The corresponding equilibrium value v_i to Player i is given as

$$v_i = M_i(0, \dots, 0) = v(0), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

If n is greater than some value the inequality $v(0) > r^{n-1}v(0)$ holds. It means that when the number of participants of such a game exceeds some level, each of them wants to sell his product F at the first time of the game.

References

1. M.Dresher, *Games of Strategy : Theory and Applications*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs. New Jersey, 1954.
2. S.Karlin, *Mathematical Method and Theory in Games, Programming, and Economics* Vol.2, Addison-Wesley, Massachusetts, 1959.
3. Y.Teraoka and Y.Yamada, Games of production development in manufacturing, *Lecture Note in Economics and Mathematical Systems* 445, *Stochastic Modelling in Innovative Manufacturing*, Springer, Berlin, 1997, 58-67.
4. Y.Teraoka and H.Hohjo, N -person games on territory, *Game Theory and Applications* Vol. V, Nova Science Publishers, Inc., New York, 2000, 134-141.
5. Y.Teraoka and H.Hohjo, Two person games of timing on sale, *Proceedings of International Workshop on Recent Advances in Stochastic Operations Research*, Nanzan University, Nagoya, 2005, 281-189.